

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/805,813 02/26/97

MITSUHARA

I

. . .

NELSON, A

085760-000

HM12/1016

WILLIAM M SMITH TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER

STH FLOOR

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

1638

10/16/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

] THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONS	BE:			
) 🗆	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection		
) 🗆			nailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is la han six months from the date of the final rejection.	ter. In no	
	The date on which the respurposes of determining to	sponse, the petition, and the fee have been he period of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appro- filed is the date of the response and also the date ag amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant t atutory period for response or as set forth in b) abo	for the o 37 CFR	
App	pellant's Brief is due in acc	ordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
App to p	olicant's response to the fin place the application in con	al rejection, filed $\frac{9/24/c1}{\text{has b}}$ has bigition for allowance:	neen considered with the following effect, but it is no	ot deemed	
	The proposed amendment	s to the claim and /or specification will not b	e entered and the final rejection stands because:		
	a. There is no convinci presented.	ing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not e	arlier	
	b. They raise new issu	es that would require further consideration a	and/or search. (See Note).		
	c. They raise the issue	e of new matter. (See Note).			
	d. They are not deem appeal.	ed to place the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the iss	sues for	
-	e. They present additi	onal claims without cancelling a correspond	ing number of finally rejected claims.		
	Newty proposed or amon	ded claims would be a	lowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment	cancolling	
Ш	the non-allowable claims.	deo craims would be al	lowed it submitted in a separately filed amendment	cancelling	
_	Upon the filing an appeal, be as follows:	the proposed amendment will be enter	ed will not be entered and the status of the cla	ims will	
	Claims allowed:				
	Claims objected to: 23				
	However;			1	
	Applicant's response	has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	The efficient exhibit as se	ne affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because			
⊔.	THE AMOAVIL, EXPIDIT OF FE	Anast int laminshipping in 192 pacific coursest	ed but does not dysteoms are rejection because		
	The affidavit or exhibit will	not be considered because applicant has no	or shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not	earlier	
┙.	presented.	10 de la company de la co	1 11 1	carrier.	
The	proposed drawing correction	on has has not been approved by	y the examiner. Any		
, Othe			,	· /	
,			 "		